

1 KRISTEN CLARKE
2 Assistant Attorney General
3 Civil Rights Division

GARY M. RESTAINO
United States Attorney
District of Arizona

4 ELISE C. BODDIE
5 Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General
6 Civil Rights Division

7 T. CHRISTIAN HERREN, JR. (AL Bar No. ASB6671R63T)
8 RICHARD A. DELLHEIM (NY Bar No. 2564177)
9 EMILY R. BRAILEY (DC Bar No. 1684650)
10 JENNIFER J. YUN (DC Bar No. 1600953)
11 Attorneys, Voting Section
12 Civil Rights Division
13 U.S. Department of Justice
14 4CON – Room 8.1815
15 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
16 Washington, DC 20530

17 Tel.: (202) 353-5724 / Fax: (202) 307-3961
18 Email: Chris.Herren@usdoj.gov
Richard.Dellheim@usdoj.gov
Emily.Brailey@usdoj.gov
Jennifer.Yun@usdoj.gov
19 *Attorneys for the United States*

20 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
21 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

22
23 Mi Familia Vota, et al.,
24 Plaintiffs,
25 v.
26 Adrian Fontes, et. al.,
27 Defendants.

No. 2:22-cv-00509-SRB (Lead Case)
No. 2:22-cv-01124-SRB (Consolidated)

United States' Response to Motion to
Intervene

Living United for Change in Arizona, et al.,
Plaintiffs,

v.

Adrian Fontes,
Defendant,
and
State of Arizona, et al.,
Intervenor-Defendants.

Poder Latinx, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
Adrian Fontes, et al.
Defendants.

United States of America,
Plaintiff,

v.

State of Arizona, et al.,
Defendant

Democratic National Committee, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
Adrian Fontes, et al.,
Defendants,

and
Republican National Committee,
Intervenor-Defendant.

Arizona Asian American Native Hawaiian
and Pacific Islander for Equity Coalition,
Plaintiff,

V.

Adrian Fontes, et al.,
Defendants.

Promise Arizona, et al.,
Plaintiffs,

V.

Adrian Fontes, et al.,
Defendants.

Tohono O'odham Nation, et al.,

V.

Kris Mayes, et al.,
Defendants.

Defendants.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1 **UNITED STATES' RESPONSE TO MOTION TO INTERVENE**

2 The United States does not oppose the April 4, 2023 motion to intervene by the
3 Arizona Speaker of the House and the Arizona President of the Senate (the
4 “Legislature”), to the extent it seeks permissive intervention. *See* Mot. at 11-12, ECF No.
5 348.

6 Arizona enacted H.B. 2492 in March 2022 and H.B. 2243 in July 2022. The
7 United States and seven other groups sued the State and various officials to enjoin
8 enforcement of certain provisions of these state laws. The State responded to the lawsuits
9 by noting its intention to defend the challenged laws. *See, e.g.*, Letter from Mark
10 Brnovich to Kristen Clarke (July 1, 2022), <https://perma.cc/SRP3-PYRB>. Attorney
11 General Kris Mayes took office in January 2023, Mot. at 5, ECF No. 348, and has
12 continued to litigate the matter.

13 On February 16, 2023, this Court denied the State Defendants’ motion to dismiss
14 the United States’ claims, including those brought under Section 6 of the National Voter
15 Registration Act (NVRA), 52 U.S.C. § 20505(a). ECF No. 304.

16 On April 17, 2023, the Arizona Attorney General’s Office sent a letter to counsel
17 for all parties in this consolidated litigation to provide “some clarity” regarding the
18 Attorney General’s “positions with respect to the claims and defenses asserted in this
19 litigation.” *See* Ex. A, Letter from Hayleigh S. Crawford, Deputy Solicitor General, Off.
20 of the Ariz. Att’y Gen., to All Counsel for All Parties (April 17, 2023). For example, the
21 letter indicates that the Attorney General “does not intend to continue asserting as a
22 defense to Plaintiffs’ claims that Congress lacks the power to regulate presidential

1 elections” since “[t]hat defense is foreclosed by binding authority.” *Id.* As such, the
2 letter indicated that “the State acknowledges that to the extent H.B. 2492 conditions
3 acceptance of the federal mail voter registration form for presidential election registration
4 on documentary proof of citizenship, it is preempted by the federal requirement that
5 States ‘accept and use’ the federal form” under the NVRA. *Id.* The letter advises that
6 “[t]he State further acknowledges that this ‘accept and use’ requirement under federal law
7 likewise preempts H.B. 2492 to the extent it conditions acceptance of the federal mail
8 voter registration form for federal election registration on documentary proof of
9 residence.” *Id.* The letter also notes that the Attorney General has “serious concerns
10 about the legality of conditioning access to early ballots for federal elections on the
11 provision of documentary proof of citizenship,” and that the Office “is continuing to
12 evaluate the defensibility of this provision of H.B. 2492.” *Id.* Aside from certain issues,
13 the letter indicates that the Attorney General “otherwise generally intends to continue
14 defending H.B. 2492 and H.B. 2243.” *Id.*

15 In light of the Arizona Attorney General’s April 17 representations, the United
16 States does not oppose the Legislature’s motion to intervene permissively under Rule
17 24(b). *See* Mot. at 11-12, ECF No. 348. Accordingly, the United States respectfully
18 suggests that the Court need not reach the Legislature’s arguments regarding intervention
19 as of right under Rule 24(a).

20

21

22

1 Date: April 18, 2023

2 Respectfully submitted,

3 GARY M. RESTAINO
United States Attorney
4 District of Arizona

KRISTEN CLARKE
Assistant Attorney General
Civil Rights Division

5 ELISE C. BODDIE
6 Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General
Civil Rights Division

7 /s/ Emily R. Brailey
8 T. CHRISTIAN HERREN, JR.
RICHARD A. DELLHEIM
9 EMILY R. BRAILEY
JENNIFER J. YUN
10 Attorneys, Voting Section
Civil Rights Division
U.S. Department of Justice
4CON – Room 8.1815
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
11 Washington, DC 20530

12

13 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

14 I hereby certify that on April 18, 2023, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk
15 of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of this filing to
16 counsel of record.

17 /s/ Emily R. Brailey
18 Emily R. Brailey
19 Civil Rights Division
U.S. Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Ave, NW
20 Washington, DC 20530
(202) 353-5724
21 Emily.Brailey@usdoj.gov